UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,738	07/09/2003	Ellen E. Drew	970767.201	2764
24283 PATTON BOO	24283 7590 10/03/2007 PATTON BOGGS LLP		EXAMINER	
1801 CALFORNIA STREET		RIVIERE, HEIDI M		
	SUITE 4900 DENVER, CO 80202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

3370	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/616,738	DREW ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Heidi Riviere	3629
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>6</u>	09 July 2003	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for all		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	·	
Disposition of Claims	•	
Disposition of Claims	Alam	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the applica	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	·
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	na/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	anda haya basa wasabisad	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		Annication No
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu</li> </ol>	•	Treceived III tills Ivational Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received
See the attached detailed Office action for a	rust of the definied copies no	rreceived.
Attachment(s)		
	A\ 🔲 Intonious	Summary (PTO, 413)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds the 150-word limit. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "survey" in claims 1, 3, 13-17, 21-24, 27-29, 32-43,45-46, and 48 is used by the claim to mean "details", while the accepted meaning is "to take a comprehensive view; to inspect" (dictionary reference com/browse/survey) The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3629

3. Claims 1, 17-20, 23-25, 27-31, and 37-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spencer (US 6,356,909 B1).

- 4. With respect to claim 1: Spencer teaches:
  - environmental project survey storage means for storing data representative of at least one environmental project survey; (col. 4, lines 27-30; col. 8, lines 38-43 – RFP questions are stored in the response database)
  - display means, responsive to a user selecting an environmental project survey, for presenting said user with a display of said environmental project survey, said environmental project survey including a plurality of criteria data categories; (col. 3, lines 18-25 – computer used to organize and make information accessible)
  - input means for enabling said user to input data into at least one of said criteria data plurality of criteria data categories on said environmental project survey; (col. 3, lines 18-25 - computer used to organize and make information accessible)
  - data transfer means for transmitting said environmental project survey to a central station; (col. 3, lines 18-25 – web interface used to make information accessible over the Internet)
  - project survey and data representative of at least one characteristic of each of said resource providers; (col. 4, lines 27-30; col. 8, lines 38-43 RFP questions are stored in the response database) and

Art Unit: 3629

- survey query means operable with said database means for automatically matching said user input data from said environmental project surveys with said data representative of said at least one characteristic of each of said resource providers. (col. 4, lines 14-22 – scores automatically tallied)
- 5. With respect to claim 17: Spencer teaches criteria data categories selected from the group consisting of user biographical data and free form text. (col. 14, line 10-16 general information on company such as)
- 6. With respect to claim 18: Spencer teaches reporting means for generating a report from said user selected criteria data category, said report selected from the group consisting of the number of user identified environmental projects submitted, the past funds granted to a user, the past funds granted to a user identified environmental project associated with said partner, and the comments on finished user identified environmental projects for a user. (col. 9, line 30-45 up-to-date report on all information gathered with proposal)
- 7. With respect to claim 19: Spencer teaches a report selected from the group consisting of the number of user identified environmental projects submitted within a date range, the number of user identified environmental projects submitted by location, the number of user identified environmental projects matching a specified duration, the number of user identified environmental projects submitted by a specified user, the number of user identified environmental projects that are scaleable, and the number of user identified environmental projects that are replicable, the amount of funds being requested (average), the amount of administration costs being requested (average), the

Art Unit: 3629

amount of leverage [cash, in-kind, both] (average), the number of projects (or % of projects) per category, the number of projects (or % projects) per target audience, (sorted by location), the number of projects (or % of projects) per partner type (average), and the amount of time to initiate a project (average), the estimated duration of a project (average). (col. 9, line 30-45 – up-to-date report on all information gathered with proposal)

Furthermore, the data identifying the types of environmental projects is non-functional descriptive data.

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." Exemplary "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs, which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When presented with a claim comprising descriptive material, an Examiner must determine whether the claimed nonfunctional descriptive material should be given patentable weight. The Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) must consider all claim limitations when determining patentability of an invention over the prior art. *In re Gulack*, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401,404 (Fed. Cir. 1983). The PTO may not disregard claim limitations comprised of printed matter. *See Gulack*, 703 F.2d at 1384-85,217 USPQ at 403; see also Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 191,209 USPQ 1, 10 (1981). However, the examiner need not give patentable weight to descriptive material absent a

Art Unit: 3629

new and unobvious functional relationship between the descriptive material and the substrate. See *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994); *In re Ngai*, 367 F.3d 1336, 1338, 70 USPQ2d 1862, 1863-64 (Fed. Cir. 2004). Thus, when the prior art describes all the claimed structural and functional relationships between the descriptive material and the substrate, but the prior art describes a different descriptive material than the claim, then the descriptive material is nonfunctional and will not be given any patentable weight. That is, such a scenario presents no new and unobvious functional relationship between the descriptive material and the substrate.

The Examiner asserts that the data identifying the selectable options and the information displayed upon selection of each option adds little, if anything, to the claimed acts or steps and thus do no serve as limitations on the claims to distinguish over the prior art. MPEP 2106IV b 1(b) indicates that "nonfunctional descriptive material" is material "that cannot exhibit any functional interrelationship with the way the steps are performed". Any differences related merely to the meaning and information conveyed through data, which does not explicitly alter or impact the steps is nonfunctional descriptive data. Except for the meaning to the human mind, the data identifying the selectable options and the information displayed upon selection of the options does not functionally relate to the substrate and thus does not change the steps of the method as claimed. The subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3629

- 8. **With respect to claim 20:** Spencer teaches the group consisting of world wide web, internet, intranet, and telephony. (col. 5, lines 60-63; col. 6, lines 15-30 Internet-based computer system used)
- 9. With respect to claim 23: Spencer teaches an error checking means for validating said criteria data category selected on said environmental project survey prior to transmission to said central station. (col. 9, lines 1-12 responses are edited)
- 10. With respect to claim 24: Spencer teaches a receipt confirmation means for notifying said user that said environmental project survey was received by said central station. (col. 8, lines 43-51 email notification sent)
- 11. With respect to claim 25: Spencer teaches receipt confirmation means comprises email notification. (col. 8, lines 43-51 email notification sent)
- 12. **With respect to claim 27:** Spencer teaches an updating means for allowing a user to update said environmental project survey once it has been transmitted to said central station. (col. 16, lines 52-67 database updated to reflect new changes.)
- 13. With respect to claim 28: Spencer teaches a locking means for disabling a user's ability to update said environmental project survey once said user identified environmental project is under review by said central station. (col. 11, lines 20-26 users have access only to data that they were given permission to view)
- 14. With respect to claim 29: Spencer teaches code search means for enabling said central station to search for the appropriate code listings that are used to dynamically populate list boxes on said environmental project surveys. (col. 8, lines 23-38; col. 9, lines 60-64 questions are compiled using question database)

Art Unit: 3629

15. With respect to claim 30: Spencer teaches the code listings is selected from the group consisting of project categories, target audience type, organization type, partner types, applicant type, state codes, and tiers. (col. 1, lines 52-60 – "The RFP's typically comprised of questions related to the potential vendor's capabilities, operations, financial history, service areas and more")

- 16. With respect to claim 31: Spencer teaches editing means for allowing an user to perform a function on the code listings selected from the group consisting of browse, add, and delete. (col. 8, lines 43-51 responses are edited)
- 17. With respect to claim 37: Spencer teaches:
  - presenting said user an environmental project survey to a display connected
    to said remote computer, said environmental project survey including at least
    one criteria data category; (col. 6, lines 31-45 applications can be
    completed over the Internet via remote computer.)
  - inputting data to said at least one criteria data category on said environmental project survey; (col. 3, lines 18-25 - computer used to organize and make information accessible)
  - transmitting said environmental project survey to said server; (col. 3, lines 18-25 - computer used to organize and make information accessible; web based interface used to make information accessible over the Internet)
  - storing said environmental project survey to said database connected to said server; (col. 4, lines 27-30; col. 8, lines 38-43 - RFP questions are stored in the response database) and

Art Unit: 3629

automatically matching said inputted at least one criteria data category from said environmental project survey with said data representative of said resource provider. (col. 14, lines 56-67 – "preferably there are three outcomes, no response matches the question, one response matches the question, or multiple responses match the question")

- 18. With respect to claim 38: Spencer teaches notifying said resource provider of said matching at least one criteria from said stored environmental project surveys. (col. 4, lines 56-58 "The RFP respondents may also be notified of their status on any given RFP.")
- 19. With respect to claim 39: Spencer teaches saving to said database said matching said selected at least one criteria data category from said environmental project survey with said resource provider. (col. 14, lines 56-67 "preferably there are three outcomes, no response matches the question, one response matches the question, or multiple responses match the question")
- 20. With respect to claim 40: Spencer teaches informing a resource provider having specific criteria about matching user identified environmental projects submitted to a central station through a remote computer, said central station having a server connected to said remote computer through a network, comprising:
  - presenting an environmental project survey to a user located at said remote computer, said environmental project survey including at least one criteria data category; (col. 6, lines 31-45 – applications can be completed over the Internet via remote computer.)

 inputting data into said at least one criteria data category on said environmental project survey; (col. 3, lines 18-25 - computer used to organize and make information accessible)

- transmitting said environmental project survey to said central station including a database; (col. 3, lines 18-25 - computer used to organize and make information accessible)
- storing said environmental project survey to said database (col. 4, lines 27-30; col. 8, lines 38-43 - RFP questions are stored in the response database);
- matching said inputted at least one criteria data category from said environmental project survey with said specific criteria to produce at least one environmental project survey that matches said criteria data category; (col. 14, lines 56-67 "preferably there are three outcomes, no response matches the question, one response matches the question, or multiple responses match the question") and
- transmitting from said central station to said resource provider said matched at least one environmental project surveys. (col. 14, lines 56-67 "preferably there are three outcomes, no response matches the question, one response matches the question, or multiple responses match the question")
- 21. With respect to claim 41: Spencer teaches saving to said database said matching at least one criteria data category from said environmental project survey.

  (col. 14, lines 56-67 "preferably there are three outcomes, no response matches the

Art Unit: 3629

question, one response matches the question, or multiple responses match the question")

- 22. With respect to claim 42: Spencer teaches submitting a user identified environmental project to a central station through a remote computer, said central station having a server connected to said remote computer through a network, comprising:
  - presenting a user an environmental project survey, said environmental project survey including at least one criteria data category; (col. 6, lines 31-45 – applications can be completed over the Internet via remote computer.)
  - selecting said at least one criteria data category on said environmental project survey; (col. 6, lines 31-45 – applications can be completed over the Internet via remote computer.) and
  - transmitting said environmental project survey to a central station. (col. 8,
     lines 39-51 document posted in Internet environment)
- 23. With respect to claim 43: Spencer teaches editing said transmitted environmental project survey after it is stored at said central station. (col. 9, lines 1-7, responses can be edited and modified)
- 24. With respect to claim 44: Spencer teaches:
  - selecting said at least one criteria data category to query with said input device; (col. 6, lines 37-45 user selects search criteria)
  - matching at least one of said criteria data category with at least one of said specific criteria; (col. 14, lines 56-67 – "preferably there are three outcomes,

Art Unit: 3629

no response matches the question, one response matches the question, or multiple responses match the question")

 generating, responsive to said selecting, said report; and displaying the results of said report to said display. (col. 9, lines 30-45 – proposal analysis are presented in user customized reports)

## 25. With respect to claim 45: Spencer teaches:

- providing at least one server computer located at a central station in communication with a computer network; (col. 6, lines 31-45 – applications can be completed over the Internet via remote computer.)
- generating at least one environmental project survey from said server computer; (col. 8, lines 23-38 – question database used to create questions for RFP)
- transmitting said at least one environmental project survey from said server computer to at least one remote computer; (col. 6, lines 31-45 – applications can be completed over the Internet via remote computer.)
- inputting at least one of said criteria data category on said at least one environmental project survey; (col. 8, lines 23-38 – question database used to create questions for RFP)
- transmitting said inputted environmental project survey to said at least one server computer; (col. 3, lines 18-25 - computer used to organize and make information accessible)

Art Unit: 3629

 storing said at least one resource provider at said central station; (col. 4, lines 27-30; col. 8, lines 38-43 - RFP questions are stored in the response database) and

- matching said at least one criteria data category from said at least one environmental project survey with said at least one resource provider. (col. 14, lines 56-67 "preferably there are three outcomes, no response matches the question, one response matches the question, or multiple responses match the question")
- 26. With respect to claim 46: Spencer teaches a match among the stored at least one said criteria data category from said at least one environmental project survey and said at least one said resource provider. (col. 14, lines 56-67 "preferably there are three outcomes, no response matches the question, one response matches the question, or multiple responses match the question")

## 27. With respect to claim 47: Spencer teaches:

- at least one server computer having a database located at a central station and an interface for communicating over a computer network; (col. 6, lines 31-45 – applications can be completed over the Internet via remote computer.)
- at least one user having an interface for communicating over said computer network with said server computer; (col. 6, lines 31-45 – applications can be completed over the Internet via remote computer.)

Art Unit: 3629

at least one environmental project survey received by said server computer 5
 from said at least one user over said computer network; (col. 6, lines 31-45 –
 applications can be completed over the Internet via remote computer.)

- at least one data representative of a resource provider stored at said central station; (col. 4, lines 27-30; col. 8, lines 38-43 - RFP questions are stored in the response database) and
- query means in communication with said server computer and said database
  for matching said at least one environmental project survey with said at least
  one 10 resource provider. (col. 14, lines 56-67 "preferably there are three
  outcomes, no response matches the question, one response matches the
  question, or multiple responses match the question")
- 28. **With respect to claim 48:** Spencer teaches a notification means for notifying said resource provider of said matched environmental project survey. (col. 4, lines 56-58 "The RFP respondents may also be notified of their status on any given RFP.")

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

29. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3629

- 30. Claims 2-16, 22, 26, 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spencer in view of Vanderboom et al. (US 2002/0147596 A1) (hereinafter "Vanderboom").
- 31. With respect to claim 2: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses identified environmental projects is selected from the group of projects consisting of clean energy projects, energy efficient projects, and pollution prevention projects. (Fig. 9<sub>2</sub> list member laboratory capabilities in the areas of environmental soil, waste, hazardous waste and water analysis that could be helpful in various projects especially pollution prevention)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the specific projects because this would enable laboratories to know ahead of time whether they should submit a proposal.

32. With respect to claim 3: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses environmental project survey data further includes hierarchically organized tiers of criteria data categories selectable by said user. (page 3, paragraph 43 – see chart, categories separated into tier 1, tier 2, and tier 3)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the specific projects because this would enable laboratories to know ahead of time why they should submit a proposal.

Art Unit: 3629

33. With respect to claim 4: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses said tiers are selected from a group consisting of a first tier, a second tier and a third tier. (page 3, paragraph 43 – see chart, categories separated into tier 1, tier 2, and tier 3)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the specific tiers of the groups because this would enable laboratories to know ahead of time whether they should submit a proposal.

34. With respect to claim 5: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses first tier comprises criteria data categories selected from the group consisting of air, energy, land, waste, and water. (page 3, paragraph 43 – see chart, categories separated into tier 1, tier 2, and tier 3; first tier includes petroleum)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the specific tiers of the groups because this would enable laboratories to know ahead of time whether they should submit a proposal.

35. With respect to claim 6: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses selected first tier displays a second tier of related criteria data categories. (page 3, paragraph 43 – see chart, categories separated into tier 1, tier 2, and tier 3)

Art Unit: 3629

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the specific tiers of the groups because this would enable laboratories to know ahead of time whether they should submit a proposal.

With respect to claim 7: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above 36. rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses selected air first tier displays a second tier of criteria data categories selected from the group consisting of acid monitoring, odor, pollutants/criteria, precipitation, ambient, indoor, noise, pollutants/greenhouse, pollutants/particulate matter, pollutants/gases, pollutants/primary/secondary, radon, sampling, sources/area, sources/fugitive, sources/mobile commercial, sources/mobile fleet, sources/mobile passenger, sources stationary, visibility/pristine, and visibility/urban. (fig. 84 – halogens; page 3, paragraph 43 - see chart, categories separated into tier 1, tier 2, and tier 3; first tier includes chemical)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the specific projects because this would enable laboratories to know ahead of time why they should submit a proposal.

37. With respect to claim 8: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses selected energy first tier displays a second tier of criteria data categories selected from the group consisting of biofuels, biomass, demand control, energy efficient, energy generation, energy sources,

Art Unit: 3629

fuel cell, geothermal, hydro, photovoltaics, clean energy certificates, solar, and wind. (fig. 8<sub>3</sub> – hydrocarbons; page 3, paragraph 43 – see chart, categories separated into tier 1, tier 2, and tier 3; first tier includes petroleum)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the specific projects because this would enable laboratories to know ahead of time why they should submit a proposal.

38. With respect to claim 9: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses said selected land first tier displays a second tier of criteria data categories selected from the group consisting of agriculture, extractive industries, forest, horticulture, industrial, open space, parks, and residential. (page 3, paragraph 43 – see chart, categories separated into tier 1, tier 2, and tier 3; agriculture mentioned in one of the tiers)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the specific projects because this would enable laboratories to know ahead of time why they should submit a proposal.

39. With respect to claim 10: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses said selected waste first tier displays a second tier of criteria data categories selected from the group consisting of bio-solids, construction/ demolition, fly ash/normal waste, fly ash/special waste, hazardous/biological, hazardous/chemical treatment, hazardous/disposal,

Art Unit: 3629

hazardous/physical treatment, hazardous/recycling/reuse, hazardous/storage, hazardous/transportation, medical/special wastes, solid/landfills, solid/non-organic, solid/organic, solid/precycle, solid/recycling, solid/reduction, solid/reuse, and solid/waste to energy. (page 3, paragraph 43 – see chart, categories separated into tier 1, tier 2, and tier 3; medical products mentioned in second tier)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the specific projects because this would enable laboratories to know ahead of time why they should submit a proposal.

40. With respect to claim 11: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses said selected water first tier displays a second tier of criteria data categories selected from the group consisting of aquifer recharge, "grey" water reuse, ground, industrial re-use, irrigation, non-point treatment, point source treatment, potable, quality, real time monitoring, storm, surface, use reduction, waste (effluent), and wetlands. (fig. 8<sub>6</sub> – surface topography)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the specific projects because this would enable laboratories to know ahead of time why they should submit a proposal.

41. With respect to claim 12: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses said third tier of criteria data categories is selected from the group consisting of building/design, carbon trading,

Art Unit: 3629

ecology/biology, ecotourism, conservation, consulting, consumer products. education/training/outreach, emergency response, engineering, equipment sales/rental, financial services, food, geographic information systems (GIS), geology/geophysical, services. information systems, legal management import/export, marketing/communications, natural resource management, packaging/storage, pollution prevention, process/prevention technologies, public health, public policy, remediation, resource recovery, reuse, safety, source reduction, sustainable development, and transportation. (page 4, paragraph 61 - consulting services provided)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the specific projects because this would enable laboratories to know ahead of time why they should submit a proposal.

42. With respect to claim 13: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses criteria data categories selected from the group consisting of user identified environmental projects funding and cost data. (page 2, paragraph 72; Figs. 3<sub>10</sub> and 3<sub>18</sub> - "How is Pricing Established?" section – Labseek program contains project fee and cost of job defined in project.)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the specific categories and cost information because this information would enable laboratories to know ahead of time whether they should submit a proposal.

Art Unit: 3629

43. With respect to claim 14: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses criteria data categories selected from the group consisting of earliest begin date for said user identified environmental project, latest initiation date for said user identified environmental project, duration of said user identified environmental project, and location of said user identified environmental project. (fig. 3<sub>3</sub> – details include project description and work start date)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding start time of the project as well as location information because this enables laboratories to figure out if the can fit the project into their schedule.

44. With respect to claim 15: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses said environmental project surveys further comprises: criteria data categories selected from the group consisting of partner type, target audience, community served, amount of resources required, quantifiable metrics/dollars spent, scalability of the user identified environmental projects, and replicability of said user identified environmental projects. (figs. 9<sub>1</sub> and 9<sub>2</sub> – general member information questions presented as well as services and scientist inventory)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the specific projects because this would enable laboratories to know ahead of time whether they should submit a proposal.

Art Unit: 3629

45. **With respect to claim 16:** Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses partner type is selected from the group consisting of business, government, non-government, and academic. (pages 3 and 4, paragraphs 57-61 – members can be corporate, non-profits or consultants)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the specific projects because this would enable laboratories to know ahead of time whether they should submit a proposal.

46. With respect to claim 22: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses number assigning means for assigning an identification number to each of said environmental project surveys. (3<sub>3</sub> – project given PO number)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the specific projects because this would enable laboratories to know ahead of time whether they should submit a proposal.

47. With respect to claim 26: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses said receipt confirmation means includes said identification number. (Figure 3<sub>13</sub> – project number used to identify project status)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom

Art Unit: 3629

regarding the specific projects because this would enable laboratories to know ahead of time whether they should submit a proposal.

48. With respect to claim 32: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses an archive means for saving said environmental project survey to an archive library. (Figure 5, item 7 – analysis report is archived)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding the archiving of the report because this information would enable laboratories to have access to the information when the process is over.

- 49. **With respect to claim 33:** Spencer teaches a delete means for deleting said environmental project survey from said database means once said environmental project survey has been archived to said archive library. (col. 16, lines 52-67 responses can be deleted)
- 50. With respect to claim 34: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses an archive update means that notifies said users that said environmental project surveys will be sent to said archive library on said database means if not updated. (fig. 5, items 7 and 8 lab has ability to archive reports)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom

Art Unit: 3629

regarding the archiving of the report because this information would enable laboratories to have access to the information when the process is over.

- 51. With respect to claim 35: Spencer teaches a restore means for restoring said deleted environmental project survey from said archive library to said database means. (col. 16, lines 58-59 "retired response may be re-activated from retired status)
- 52. With respect to claim 36: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Vanderboom discloses dating means for tracking submitted dates and updated dates of said environmental project surveys. (Vanderboom; Figure 3<sub>13</sub> column for "Date of RFP submission by customer")

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Vanderboom regarding tracking submitted dates because this information would enable laboratories and reviewers to know the status of proposal or whether it should be reviewed.

- 53. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spencer in view of Miller et al. (US 2005/0055306 A1) (hereinafter "Miller").
- 54. With respect to claim 21: Spencer teaches the limitations cited in the above rejections. Spencer fails however Miller discloses encrypted data transfer means for securely transmitting said environmental project survey to said central station. (page 26, paragraph 627 proposal submission is encrypted)

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Spencer with details of Miller regarding

Art Unit: 3629

the encryption of proposal submission because this would not enable tampering and would keep the process confidential.

Page 26

Application/Control Number: 10/616,738

Art Unit: 3629

CONCLUSION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 55.

examiner should be directed to Heidi Riviere whose telephone number is 571-270-1831.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-5:00pm EST.;

Alternative Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Name: Heidi Riviere
Signature:

Title: Examiner

John G. Weiss

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**